

*Shaping the Future of EMS
in California*

*Vision Working Group D
System Review and Data*

ACTION PLAN

Year II

NOVEMBER, 2000

Table of Contents

Background	1
Acknowledgments	3
Planning Structure	4
Executive Summary	5
Project Goals	7
Project Objectives and Action Steps	
Evaluation of Regulatory Agencies.....	8
Standardized Definitions, Indicators & Benchmarks	10
Data Collection Systems	13
Data Feedback	15
Continuous Quality Improvement	17
Linkages with Training and Prevention Programs	19
System Evaluation Training.....	20
Immunity and Discovery Protection.....	21
Guidelines for EMS Research	23
Organizational Structure for Implementation/Maintenance	25
 APPENDIX	
A. Original Vision Objectives and NHTSA Recommendations Assigned.....	28
B. Anticipated Documents to be Developed.....	31
C. Required Legislation & Regulation.....	32

Background

In 1997, under the direction and guidance of the California Commission on Emergency Medical Services and the State EMS Authority, the EMS community in California embarked upon an aggressive and unprecedented statewide EMS planning process which would eventually culminate in the development of a, first ever, *State EMS Plan*. The impetus for this project was multifaceted. The impact of managed care, current and anticipated future reductions in reimbursement for EMS services, litigation concerning lines of authority for EMS oversight, conflicting legislation being introduced by various EMS constituency groups, and the lack of standardization of local EMS services were a few of the factors which highlighted the need for the review and redesign of the EMS system in the state.

The first phase of the planning project was the creation of a common vision among EMS constituency groups, and the development of strategic goals. To begin the process, the EMS Commission established eight, multi-disciplinary subcommittees to identify the most important deficiencies of the present EMS system and devise a consensus implementation strategy to correct them. The results of the subcommittees' work was presented at an EMS vision conference held in December 1998 in San Francisco. At that conference, the objectives from each of the subcommittees were refined and eventually chronicled in a vision conference summary entitled, *Shaping the Future of EMS in California*.[®] In addition to the state vision process, the State EMS Authority also invited the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) to conduct an assessment of the California EMS system. This comprehensive, four-day assessment by a team of national EMS system experts, was completed in August of 1999.

Shaping the Future of EMS in California contained sixty-six strategic objectives, and the NHTSA assessment contained ninety recommendations for system improvement. To further address these issues, the vision objectives and NHTSA recommendations were divided into six major categories: *Funding, Governance and Medical Control, Education and Personnel, System Review and Data, Access, and Prevention*. A vision process committee, or Work Group, was assigned to each category. The charge of each of the Work Groups was twofold. Phase I would include the development of action plans by each of the groups to address the objectives and recommendations assigned to them. Once the action plans were approved by the EMS Commission, the groups would begin Phase II of the project which is to complete the steps outlined in the action plans. The entire process is estimated to be accomplished by December 2002.

The EMS Commission has retained overall administration of the process and has identified a Vision Project Team to provide ongoing oversight of the project. Prevention 2000 grant funding has been identified and funded through the Sierra-Sacramento Valley EMS Agency to cover project expenses.

This grant project includes funding to hire a Project Manager, who will direct the project and serve on the Vision Project Team along with the EMS Authority Director, an EMS Commissioner, and the leaders of each of the six working groups. While the Project Team will utilize the action plans submitted by all six of the work groups to incorporate them into a single State EMS Plan, this report focuses only on the action plan of the System Review and Data Vision Committee, or Work Group AD.@

Work Group D was assigned fourteen of the sixty-six vision objectives and fifteen of the NHTSA recommendations (See Appendix A). At the first meeting of the group, held in September 1999, three subgroups were created; 1) *Data System Design*, 2) *Evaluation & Improvement*, and 3) *Legislation*. Leaders and members were identified, and overlapping objectives and recommendations were consolidated and divided among each of the subgroups. A state funded, Statewide EMS System Evaluation Grant project cosponsored by the Mountain-Valley, Los Angeles, and North Coast EMS Agencies, which has accomplished much of the preliminary work in the development of system indicators, organizational structure, and quality improvement was also integrated into the Work Group D efforts under the *Evaluation and Improvement* subgroup. A three year, Office of Traffic Safety (OTS) grant project awarded to the State EMS Authority to assist in the development of the Work Group D objectives, will also be coordinated with, and integrated into, this vision project.

Following the September meeting, the subgroups identified ten goals which encompassed the vision objectives and NHTSA recommendations and incorporated them into the work group *Project Goals* (page 7). These Project Goals were designed to provide overall guidance and direction for the group. Objectives and action steps were then developed to meet each of the identified goals. These goals, objectives and action steps provide the mechanism to complete a comprehensive EMS system evaluation for the state and the completion of this report fulfills the charge of Phase I of the project, *creating an action plan*.

Acknowledgments

SYSTEM REVIEW AND DATA WORK GROUP

MEMBER

AGENCY

REPRESENTING

Steve Andriese <i>(GroupLead)</i>	Mountain-Valley EMS Agency	Work Group Lead
Ed Armitage	State EMS Authority	EMS Authority
Bob Eisenman	Kaiser Permanente	Managed Care
Nancy Justin	San Ramon Valley Fire Protection District	Emergency Nurses Assn.
Larry Karsteadt	North Coast EMS Agency	EMSAAC
Michael Kassis	OSHPD	OSHPD
Kurt Latipow	Stanislaus Consolidated Fire	League of California Cities
Deidre Myles	LA County Fire Dept.	Calif. State Firefighters Assn.
Cathy Ord	Long Beach Fire Dept.	Calif. Fire Chiefs Assn.
Al Rush		Calif. Professional Firefighters
Angelo Salvucci, M.D.	Santa Barbara EMS Agency	EMDAC
Jim Schneider <i>(Subgroup 1 Lead)</i>	Monterey County EMS Agency	Data Base Mgmt. Consultant
Bonnie SinZ <i>(Subgroup 3 Lead)</i>	Sierra/Sacramento EMS Agency	Calif. State Assn. of Counties
Craig Stroup <i>(Subgroup 2 Lead)</i>	Mountain-Valley EMS Agency	Grant Coordinator
Lawson Stuart	Northern Calif. Training Institute	Calif. Ambulance Assn.
Roger Trent, Ph.D.	Department of Health Services	Department of Health Services
Mike Vega	California Highway Patrol	California Highway Patrol

ALTERNATE

AGENCY

REPRESENTING

Barbara Brodfuehrer	Ventura County EMS Agency	EMSAAC
Ken Carter		California Professional Firefighters
Reggie Chappelle	California Highway Patrol	California Highway Patrol
Gary Hinshaw	Modesto Fire Dept.	League of California Cities
Charla Jensen	State EMS Authority	State EMS Authority
Ray Navarro	Beverly Hills Fire	Calif. Fire Chiefs Assn. & Calif. State Firefighters Assn.
David Nevins	California Ambulance Assn.	California Ambulance Assn.
Bob O'Brien	Fremont Fire Dept.	Calif. Fire Chiefs Assn.
Karl Sporer, M.D.	San Mateo County EMS	EMDAC
Tella Williams	OSHPD	OSHPD

NON-MEMBER PARTICIPANTS

Richard Watson	State EMS Authority
Miranda Swanson	State EMS Authority
Roberta Bonnet	Contra Costa County EMS Agency
Michael Frenn	Solano EMS Cooperative
Kim Kirkpatrick	California State Fire Marshals Office
Stew McGehee	San Jose Fire Department

Planning Structure

Executive Summary

AWe choose to go to the moon in this decade and do the other things, not because they are easy, but because they are hard, because that goal will serve to organize and measure the best of our energies and skills, because that challenge is one that we are willing to accept, one we are unwilling to postpone, and one we intend to win . . . A

John F. Kennedy

Rice University - September 12, 1962

It may seem a bit pretentious to compare the development of a statewide data and system review process for EMS with the 1960's race to land a man on the moon. However, as we set out to map the direction we will take, the task seems just as arduous. Perhaps this is why no state in the nation has yet fully accomplished this feat.

Not unlike the quest to conquer space, developing a sound mechanism to measure the effectiveness of, and improve the services provided by the EMS system in California has begun with a vision. This vision was revealed at the December 1998 vision conference and became the impetus for the process outlined in this Action Plan. The goals, objectives, and action steps included in this document provide a step-by-step process to bring us from the fragmented and uncoordinated EMS evaluation system in place today, to an established and comprehensive system that allows for effective evaluation and improvement of the services we perform.

Included in this action plan is a process for the periodic evaluation of the state EMS regulatory agencies to ensure these lead organizations are providing the necessary leadership and direction to the EMS development and maintenance process. The plan outlines a method for the development of a standardized EMS data collection system that will ensure that accurate and timely data can be collected and shared among EMS system participants, and will allow integration and sharing of that data with other private, state, and federal agencies and organizations as appropriate.

This plan promotes the establishment of standardized performance indicators to facilitate comparative analysis of EMS system performance among the local systems and EMS providers. It creates a mechanism for the data to be utilized in a statewide, continuous quality improvement process that provides ongoing system enhancement, as well as establishes linkages with EMS training and prevention programs to ensure that needs identified through the evaluation process are integrated into these EMS training curriculum and prevention efforts. It also calls for system evaluation training for all levels of EMS system personnel to ensure proper documentation, data entry, analysis, and utilization of data.

This action plan will also serve as a template for coordinating the revision of EMS regulations and

promoting new legislation associated with EMS data collection and system evaluation. It provides for the coordination of efforts with other state work groups and standing committees and promotes the utilization of national standards whenever possible.

Once the objectives of this plan are successfully realized, they will provide for strengthened immunity and discovery protection for all local and state EMS quality improvement processes. They will also provide guidelines for conducting and funding state supported or state required research. And finally, they will provide for the development of a sound organizational structure and standard operating procedures necessary for the implementation and maintenance of the EMS data collection, evaluation, and improvement process.

Project Goals

The future EMS evaluation and improvement system in the state of California will include:

1. A mechanism for periodic performance evaluations of the state's EMS regulatory agencies.
2. Standardized definitions, indicators, and benchmarks, to include the consideration of all national EMS data sets, to facilitate comparative analysis of local system performance, quality of patient care, customer satisfaction, and system cost on a state and national level.
3. A comprehensive data collection system capable of gathering and integrating pertinent, timely, and accurate data from all state EMS system participants, and providing data linkages with other private, state, and federal agencies and organizations as appropriate.
4. A mechanism to ensure feedback of data reports to all contributing agencies while maintaining confidentiality and security of the data. This process will include a mechanism for prehospital personnel to receive timely feedback on the physician diagnosis and disposition of their patients.
5. A mechanism to ensure the data is utilized at the state, local and provider level for continuous quality improvement aimed at improving EMS services and quality of patient care, decreasing death and disability, and reducing costs.
6. Communication linkages with EMS training and prevention programs to ensure needs identified through the evaluation process are integrated into the EMS training curriculum and prevention programs.
7. System evaluation training for all levels of EMS system personnel to ensure proper documentation, data entry, analysis, utilization of data and an understanding of the principles of research.
8. Immunity for medical control and quality improvement processes and discovery protection for all local and state EMS quality improvement efforts to ensure cooperation and participation of all EMS participants in patient care and EMS service evaluation.
9. Guidelines for conducting and funding state supported or state required EMS research.
10. An organizational structure, standard operating procedures and funding necessary for the implementation and maintenance of a statewide EMS data collection, evaluation and improvement process.

Objectives and Action Steps

NOTE 1: All project years listed under the *Estimated Completion Date* column are calculated on a calendar year. Year 1 = 2000, Year 2 = 2001, Year 3 = 2002

NOTE 2: The definition of *provider* as utilized in this section includes all EMS service providers to include: training programs, dispatch centers, first response agencies, transport providers, special response services, base hospitals, and patient receiving facilities.

NOTE 3: It shall be recognized through the development and implementation of these objectives that rural EMS systems may need to be given special consideration due to the limited resources and system funding in those areas.

GOAL 1

Establish a mechanism for the periodic performance evaluation of the state's EMS regulatory agencies.

<u>Objective 1.1</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop guidelines and criteria for periodic evaluation of the performance of <u>local EMS agencies</u>.	Yes	Yes	Local EMS Agency Evaluation Guidelines	Group D	May 2002
<u>Action Steps</u>					
1.1.1	Develop draft evaluation criteria based upon <i>The Baldrige Categories Applied to EMS</i> as outlined in the NHTSA <i>Leadership Guide to Quality Improvement for EMS</i> to include measuring compliance with all statutory, regulatory, and EMS system guideline requirements as well as national standards for EMS system oversight.			Group D	Completed
1.1.2	Establish recommendations for the evaluation process to include: who will conduct the evaluation, how often, evaluation forms, final reporting format and distribution list.			Group D	Completed
1.1.3	Establish process and format for comparative financial analysis of LEMSAs based upon the document <i>Organization and Financing of Local EMS Agencies in California, 1993-94</i> .			Group D	Completed
1.1.4	Compile evaluation criteria and process recommendations into draft <i>Local EMS Agency Evaluation Guidelines</i> document.			Group D	March 2001
1.1.5	Submit draft guidelines to State EMSA for written comment and revise as needed.			Group D	April 2001
1.1.6	Submit draft guidelines to Work Group D for comment and preliminary approval.			Group D	June 2001
1.1.7	Upon approval of the Project Team, submit draft guidelines to EMS Commission for approval to begin the guideline development process.			EMSA & Group D	Sept. 2001
1.1.8	Work with State EMSA to make revisions during public comment periods.			Group D	March 2002
1.1.9	Submit final guidelines to EMS Commission for adoption.			EMSA	May 2002
1.1.10	Conduct State EMS Authority evaluations based upon guidelines.			TBD	Ongoing
1.1.11	Evaluate if any regulatory or legislative changes are required to ensure the guidelines established under this section are followed.			EMSA & S Group 3	July 2002

<u>Objective 1.2</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop guidelines and criteria for periodic evaluation of the performance of the <u>State EMS Authority</u>.	Yes	Yes	State EMS Authority Evaluation Guidelines	Group D	Oct 2001
<u>Action Steps</u>					
1.2.1	Develop draft evaluation criteria based upon <i>The Baldrige Categories Applied to EMS</i> as outlined in the <i>NHTSA Leadership Guide to Quality Improvement for EMS</i> to include measuring compliance with all statutory and regulatory requirements and national standards for EMS system oversight from a customer perspective.			Group D	Sept. 2001
1.2.2	Establish recommendations for the evaluation process to include: who will conduct the evaluation, how often, evaluation forms, final reporting format and distribution list.			Group D	Oct. 2001
1.2.3	Compile evaluation criteria and process recommendations into draft <i>State EMS Authority Evaluation Guidelines</i> document.			Group D	Dec. 2001
1.2.4	Submit draft guidelines to State EMSA for written comment and revise as needed.			Group D	Jan. 2002
1.2.5	Submit draft guidelines to Work Group D for comment and preliminary approval.			Group D	March 2002
1.2.6	Upon approval of the Project Team, submit draft guidelines to EMS Commission for approval to begin the formal EMS guideline development process.			EMSA & Group D	May 2002
1.2.7	Work with State EMSA to make revisions during public comment periods.			Group D	Nov. 2002
1.2.8	Submit final guidelines to EMS Commission for adoption.			EMSA	Jan. 2003
1.2.9	Conduct State EMS Authority evaluations based upon guidelines.			TBD	Ongoing
1.2.10	Evaluate if any regulatory or legislative changes are required to ensure the guidelines established under this section are followed.			EMSA & S Group 3	Oct. 2002

GOAL 2

Establish standardized definitions, indicators, and benchmarks, to include the consideration of all national EMS Data sets, to facilitate comparative analysis of local system performance, quality of patient care, customer satisfaction, and system cost on a state and national level.

<u>Objective 2.1</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop (or adopt current) standardized definitions, indicators, and benchmarks to facilitate comparative analysis of <u>local system performance</u>.	Yes	No	See Objective 2.5	S Group 2	Nov 2001
<u>Action Steps</u>					
2.1.1	Develop standardized process and format for definition, indicator and benchmark development.			S-Group 2	Completed
2.1.2	Develop <u>Training Program</u> data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes.			S-Group 2	Sept 2001
2.1.3	Develop <u>Dispatch</u> data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes.			S-Group 2	Sept 2001
2.1.4	Develop <u>First Response</u> data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes for; Public Safety, BLS, AED, and ALS.			S-Group 2	Sept 2001
2.1.5	Develop <u>Transport Provider</u> data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes for; BLS, ALS, CCT, and Air Ambulance.			S-Group 2	Sept 2001
2.1.6	Develop <u>Special Response Services</u> data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes.			S-Group 2	Sept 2001
2.1.7	Develop <u>Base Hospital</u> data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes.			S-Group 2	Sept 2001
2.1.8	Develop <u>Receiving Hospitals</u> data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes.			S-Group 2	Sept 2001
2.1.9	Develop <u>Specialty Care Service</u> data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes for; Trauma Centers, Pediatric Centers, Burn Centers, and Spinal Cord Centers.			S-Group 2	Sept 2001
2.1.10	Develop <u>Disaster/Multi-Casualty Incident</u> data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes.			S-Group 2	Sept 2001
2.1.11	Conduct periodic data collection sample tests to check the feasibility of the collection process			S-Group 2	Ongoing
2.1.12	Include all data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes in <i>State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines</i> (See Objective 2.5) and <i>State EMS Data Set</i> (See Objective 2.6).			S-Group 2	Nov 2001

<u>Objective 2.2</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop (or adopt current) standardized definitions, indicators, and benchmarks to facilitate comparative analysis of <u>quality of care</u>.	Yes	No	See Objective 2.5	S Group 2	Dec 2001
<u>Action Steps</u>					
2.2.1	Develop standardized Treatment Protocols Compliance data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and			S Group 2	Sept 2001

	validation processes.		
2.2.2	Develop standardized Skill Success Rates data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes.	S Group 2	Sept 2001
2.2.3	Develop mechanisms to evaluate the effectiveness of treatment protocols through, but not limited to, an expert data review process and research.	S Group 2	Sept 2001
2.2.4	Include all data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes in <i>State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines</i> (See Objective 2.5) and <i>State EMS Data Set</i> (See Objective 2.6).	S Group 2	Dec 2001

<u>Objective 2.3</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop (or adopt current) standardized definitions, indicators, and benchmarks to facilitate comparative analysis of <u>EMS customer satisfaction</u>.	Yes	No	See Objective 2.5	S Group 2	June 2001
<u>Action Steps</u>					
2.3.1	Identify all customer groups.			S Group 2	Mar 2001
2.3.2	Develop, through the utilization of focus groups and surveys of the pre-identified customer groups, a list of expectations of those groups.			S Group 2	Oct 2001
2.3.3	Develop draft data indicators and benchmarks to measure those expectations.			S Group 2	Dec 2001
2.3.4	Develop processes to collect and validate data from customer groups.			S Group 2	Apr 2002
2.3.5	Include all data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes in <i>State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines</i> (See Objective 2.5) and <i>State EMS Data Set</i> (See Objective 2.6).			S Group 2	Jun 2002

Note: This objective could be conducted as a separate project through a local university.

<u>Objective 2.4</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop (or adopt current) standardized definitions, indicators, and benchmarks to facilitate comparative analysis of <u>cost of EMS services</u>.	Yes	No	See Objective 2.5	S Group 2	Jun 2002
<u>Action Steps</u>					
2.4.1	Identify all cost centers associated with EMS services.			S Group 2	May 2001
2.4.2	Conduct meeting(s) with representative from each type of cost center to determine types of cost which would require ongoing evaluation and monitoring.			S Group 2	July 2001
2.4.3	Identify existing standards for the measurement of EMS / health care costs for each cost center identified.			S Group 2	Sept 2001
2.4.4	Develop cost trend analysis and cost ratio criteria based upon the above.			S Group 2	Nov 2001
2.4.5	Develop data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes necessary to evaluate cost trends and ratios from all cost centers.			S Group 2	Jan 2002
2.4.6	Identify and mandate confidentiality and blinding mechanisms to ensure security of proprietary data.			S Group 2	Apr 2002

2.4.7	Evaluate the need for legislation to ensure compliance and participation of all identified cost centers, and forward those legislative needs to the Legislative Subgroup 3.	S Group 2	Apr 2002
2.4.8	Include all data indicators, benchmarks, collection, and validation processes in <i>State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines</i> (See Objective 2.5) and <i>State EMS Data Set</i> (See Objective 2.6).	S Group 2	Jun 2002

Note: This objective could be conducted as a separate project through a local university.

<u>Objective 2.5</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop <i>State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines</i>.	Yes	No	State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines	S Group 2	May 2003
<u>Action Steps</u>					
2.5.1	Draft <i>State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines</i> to include all program areas above and include all pertinent components of Objective 10.1 (Organizational Structure).			S Group 2	June 2002
2.5.2	Submit <i>State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines</i> to Work Group D for preliminary approval.			S Group 2	Aug 2002
2.5.3	Upon approval of the Project Team, submit <i>State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines</i> to the State EMS Commission for approval to begin the formal EMS guideline development process.			EMSA & Group D	Oct 2002
2.5.4	Work with State EMSA to make revisions during public comment periods.			Group D	Mar 2003
2.5.5	Submit guidelines to EMS Commission for adoption.			EMSA	May 2003

<u>Objective 2.6</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop a State EMS Data Set	Yes	No	State EMS Data Set	S Group 1	July 2002
<u>Action Steps</u>					
2.6.1	Research and review all currently existing EMS data sets at a state and national level.			S Group 1 & OTSG	Completed
2.6.2	Develop a preliminary draft data set to use in data system development.			S Group 1 & OTSG	Jan 2001
2.6.3	Identify all data elements required under Objectives 2.1 - 2.4 and 3.1 – 3.3.			S Group 1 & OTSG	Sept 2001
2.6.4	Identify any other data elements required as part of this action plan.			S Group 1 & OTSG	Oct 2001
2.6.5	Revise, and reformat if needed the current State EMS Data Set.			S Group 1 & OTSG	Nov 2001
2.6.6	Submit draft of revised State EMS Data Set to Work Group D for preliminary approval.			S Group 1 & OTSG	Jan 2002

2.6.7	Upon approval of the Project Team, submit revised State EMS Data Set to the State EMS Commission as draft guidelines for approval to begin the formal EMS guideline development process.	EMSA & Group D	Feb 2002
2.6.8	Work with State EMSA to make revisions during public comment periods.	Group D	May 2002
2.6.9	Submit guidelines to EMS Commission for adoption.	EMSA	July 2002

<u>Objective 2.7</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To make all necessary changes to current regulations to ensure all EMS system evaluation requirements developed under this goal are included.	No	No	Revised regulation language	S Group 3	April 2002
<u>Action Steps</u>					
2.7.1	Identify all current, and monitor proposed affected regulations.			S Group 3	Ongoing
2.7.2	Draft appropriate language revisions for each regulation which would ensure compliance with the <i>State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines</i> .			S Group 3	Dec. 2001
2.7.3	Upon approval of the Project Team, submit proposed regulation revisions to the EMSA for inclusion in the next round of regulation changes.			S Group 3	April 2002

GOAL 3

Establish a comprehensive data collection system capable of gathering and integrating pertinent, timely, and accurate data from all state EMS system participants, and provide data linkages with other private, state, and federal agencies and organizations as appropriate.

<u>Objective 3.1</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop a statewide EMS data collection system.	Yes	Yes	See 3.3	S Group 1	Dec 2002
<u>Action Steps</u>					
3.1.1	Identify all EMS system participants from which data would be required.			S Group 1 & OTSG*	Completed
3.1.2	Conduct an evaluation of current EMS database system designs and collection/reporting capabilities of system participants.			S Group 1 & OTSG	Completed
3.1.3	Research other statewide data collection models nationally and internationally and all current and upcoming technologies available for EMS data collection.			S Group 1 & OTSG	Jan 2001
3.1.4	Identify need for legislation to ensure participation in the data collection system by all system participants			S Group 1	Mar 2001

	and forward to Subgroup 3 for legislative development.	& OTSG	
3.1.5	Establish data analysis effort to identify a data collection system capable of gathering data from all state EMS participants.	S Group 1 & OTSG	Mar 2001
3.1.6	Identify the optimal EMS data collection model for the state which would identify the optimal data collection forms and processes, input methodologies, collection process (centralized vs decentralized), database(s) to be developed or utilized, and system access and security.	S Group 1 & OTSG	June 2001
3.1.7	Develop a draft AEMS Data Collection Model for inclusion in the <i>State EMS Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines</i> (See Objective 3.3).	S Group 1 & OTSG	Oct 2001
3.18	Establish a comprehensive data collection system capable of gathering pertinent, timely and accurate data from all EMS system participants.	OTSG	Dec 2002

*State EMSA OTS Grant

<u>Objective 3.2</u>		Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop process for linking EMS data with other private, state, and federal agencies and organizations as appropriate.		Yes	Yes	See 3.3	S Group 1	Dec. 2002
<u>Action Steps</u>						
3.2.1	Identify potential agencies and organizations which may be interested in linking databases.				S Group 1 & OTSG	Jan 2001
3.2.2	Conduct meeting(s) with those agencies and organizations to establish interest in data linkages, identifying common patient identifiers, processes for linkages, and access and security.				S Group 1 & OTSG	April 2001
3.2.3	Develop written documentation of agencies/organizations with whom data linkages will occur, a list of agencies which may be considered for future linkages, common patient identifiers, and processes for linking the data to include access and security.				S Group 1 & OTSG	June 2001
3.2.4	Include the above in the <i>State EMS Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines</i> (See Objective 3.3).				S Group 1 & OTSG	Dec 2001
3.2.5	Identify data linkage requirements and processes.				OTSG	June 2001
3.2.6	Develop data linkage Memorandum of Understanding with all affected agencies and organizations				OTSG	Dec 2002
3.2.7	Provide data linkages with other private, state and federal agencies and organizations as appropriate				OTSG	Dec 2002

<u>Objective 3.3</u>		Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop <i>State Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines</i> Document.		Yes	No	State Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines	S Group 1	Nov 2002
<u>Action Steps</u>						
3.3.1	Develop format for the document.				S Group 1	Dec 2000

		& OTSG	
3.3.2	Include written documentation from Objectives 3.1 and 3.2.	S Group 1 & OTSG	Oct 2001
3.3.3	Develop draft of <i>State EMS Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines</i> to include organizational structure from Objective 10.1, all necessary charts, graphs, organizational chart, preface materials, and appendices.	S Group 1 & OTSG	Dec 2001
3.3.4	Submit draft guidelines to State EMSA for written comment and revise as needed.	S Group 1 & OTSG	Dec 2001
3.3.5	Submit draft guidelines to Work Group D for comment and preliminary approval.	S Group 1 & OTSG	Feb 2002
3.3.6	Upon approval of the Project Team, submit draft guidelines to EMS Commission for approval to begin the formal EMS guideline development process.	EMSA & Group D	Apr 2002
3.3.7	Work with State EMSA to make revisions during public comment periods.	Group D	Mar 2002
3.3.8	Submit final guidelines to EMS Commission for adoption.	EMSA	Nov 2002

<u>Objective 3.4</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To make all necessary changes to current regulations to ensure all data collection requirements developed under this goal are included.	No	No	Revised regulation language	S Group 3	April 2002
<u>Action Steps</u>					
3.4.1	Identify all current, and monitor proposed affected regulations.			S Group 3 & OTSG	Ongoing
3.4.2	Draft appropriate language revisions for each regulation which would ensure compliance with the <i>State Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines</i> .			S Group 3 & OTSG	Dec 2001
3.4.3	Upon approval of the Project Team, submit proposed regulation revisions to the EMSA for inclusion in the next round of regulation changes.			S Group 3 & OTSG	April 2002

GOAL 4

Establish a mechanism to ensure feedback of data to all contributing agencies while maintaining confidentiality and security of the data. This process will include a mechanism for prehospital personnel to receive timely feedback on the physician diagnosis and disposition of their patients.

<u>Objective 4.1</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop data feedback mechanisms ensuring compatibility with the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 (HIPAA).	Yes	Yes	See Objective 3.3	S Group 1	Dec 2001
<u>Action Steps</u>					

4.1.1	Identify all contributing agencies from Objective 3.1.1	S Group 1 & OTSG	Completed
4.1.2	Develop draft data feedback format and data access processes for each contributing agency and identify who will be collecting/reporting agency developing those reports. These reports shall include a draft report to be released by the State EMSA annually on the effectiveness and efficiency of EMS services in California. The report shall include an evaluation of the adequacy, quality, effectiveness and cost of EMS services as well as plans for improvement.	S Group 1 & OTSG	June 2001
4.1.3	Develop guidelines to establish how data will be utilized by regulatory agencies and how it will be reported to the public and customers of the system.	S Group 1 & OTSG	Aug 2001
4.1.4	Submit draft data feedback reports and access processes to each contributing agency for input and revise as needed.	S Group 1 & OTSG	Sept 2001
4.1.5	Develop process and timelines for developing and submitting feedback reports to all contributing agencies.	S Group 1 & OTSG	Sept 2001
4.1.6	Develop a list of information points (e.g. patient diagnosis, disposition, etc) which should be provided as feedback to the prehospital crews following delivery of the patient to an E.D.	S Group 1 & OTSG	Nov 2001
4.1.7	Develop a reasonable time-limit and example reporting processes to meet that time-limit	S Group 1 & OTSG	Nov 2001
4.1.8	Submit time-limit requirement to Subgroup 3 for legislative or regulatory development.	S Group 1 & OTSG	Dec 2001
4.1.9	Include data feedback reports and the process for reporting in the <i>State Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines</i> for completion of Objectives 3.3.3 - 3.3.8.	S Group 1 & OTSG	Dec 2001

<u>Objective 4.2</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop mechanism for confidentiality and security of the data during feedback process ensuring compatibility with HIPAA.	Yes	No	See Objective 3.3	S Group 1	June 2002
<u>Action Steps</u>					
4.2.1	Review feedback reports and data access processes developed under objective 4.1.3 and 4.1.5.			S Group 1 & OTSG	Dec 2001
4.2.2	Identify blinding mechanism for all data reports to ensure anonymity of provider agencies.			S Group 1 & OTSG	Mar 2002
4.2.3	Identify security mechanisms for any data access processes developed.			S Group 1 & OTSG	Mar 2002
4.2.4	Include confidentiality and security mechanisms in the <i>State Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines</i> for completion of Objectives 3.3.3 - 3.3.8.			S Group 1 & OTSG	June 2002

<u>Objective 4.3</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
-----------------------------	------------------------------------	----------------------------------	--------------------------	----------------------	----------------------------------

To make all necessary changes to current regulations to ensure all EMS system evaluation requirements developed under this goal are included.	Yes	No	Revised regulation language	S Group 3	Begin in Year 2
<u>Action Steps</u>					
4.3.1 Identify all current, and monitor proposed affected regulations.				S Group 3	Ongoing
4.3.2 Draft appropriate language revisions for each regulation which would ensure compliance with the <i>State EMS System Data Collection and Reporting Guidelines</i> .				S Group 3	Dec 2001
4.3.3 Upon approval of the Project Team, submit proposed regulation revisions to the EMSA for inclusion in the next round of regulation changes.				S Group 3	Apr 2002

GOAL 5

Establish a mechanism to ensure the data is utilized at the state, local and provider level for continuous quality improvement aimed at improving EMS services and quality of patient care, decreasing death and disability, and reducing costs.

<u>Objective 5.1</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop program for continuous quality improvement at both the state, local, and provider level	Yes	Yes	See Objective 5.3	S Group 2	Dec 2002
<u>Action Steps</u>					
5.1.1	Identify all system participants that should be involved in a CQI process.			S Group 2	Sept 2001
5.1.2	Utilizing the NHTSA document entitled, <i>A Leadership Guide to Quality Improvement for EMS Systems</i> as a model, develop a draft program, which includes all identified system participants and utilizes the information received through the data collection, reporting and evaluation process, to; identify areas in need of improvement, develop improvement plans, and to test those improvements utilizing a timely, scientific methodology.			S Group 2	Mar 2002
5.1.3	Develop a methodology for utilizing the above program at a state, local and provider level. The methodology developed should identify which parts of the program would require mandatory participation of each system participant, and which parts could be voluntary. (Coordinate efforts with the development of Objectives 10.1 and 10.2).			S Group 2	June 2002
5.1.4	Develop a methodology for evaluating the appropriateness of the improvements that have been successfully tested for integration into the statewide EMS system taking into consideration unique rural vs urban program needs and limitations.			S Group 2	Sept 2002
5.1.5	Include program into draft <i>State EMS CQI Guidelines</i> (See Objective 5.3)			S Group 2	Dec 2002

<u>Objective 5.2</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop legislation to ensure participation by all system participants in CQI processes	No	No	EMS Quality Improvement Legislation	S Group 3	Year 3
<u>Action Steps</u>					
5.2.1	Research existing, and monitor proposed statute and regulation to determine the current requirements for CQI involvement.			S Group 3	Ongoing
5.2.2	Draft legislative/regulatory language in bullet points necessary to ensure state and local QI systems participation.			S Group 3	
5.2.3	Present proposed legislative bullet points to Work Group D for preliminary approval.			S Group 3	
5.2.4	Present proposed legislative bullet points to Vision Project Team for inclusion in the vision legislative				

process.	Group D	
5.2.5 Assist Project team with legislative process as needed	S Group 3	

<u>Objective 5.3</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop <i>State EMS CQI Guidelines</i>	No	No	State EMS CQI Guidelines	S Group 2	Dec 2003
<u>Action Steps</u>					
5.3.1 Draft <i>State EMS CQI Guidelines</i> to include all program areas above and include all pertinent components of Objective 10.1 (Organizational Structure).				S Group 2	Feb 2003
5.3.2 Submit <i>State CQI Guidelines</i> to Work Group D for preliminary approval.				S Group 2	Mar 2003
5.3.3 Upon approval of the Project Team, submit <i>State CQI Guidelines</i> to the State EMS Commission for approval to begin the formal EMS guideline development process.				S Group 2	May 2003
5.3.4 Work with State EMSA to make revisions during public comment periods.				S Group 2	Nov 2003
5.3.5 Submit guidelines to EMS Commission for adoption.				S Group 2	Dec 2003

<u>Objective 5.4</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To make all necessary changes to current regulations to ensure all EMS CQI requirements developed under this goal are included.	No	No	Revised regulation language	S Group 3	Begin in Year 3
<u>Action Steps</u>					
5.4.1 Identify all current, and monitor proposed affected regulations.				S Group 3	Ongoing
5.4.2 Draft appropriate language revisions for each regulation which would ensure compliance with the <i>State CQI Guidelines</i> .				S Group 3	
5.4.3 Upon approval of the Project Team, submit proposed regulation revisions to the EMSA for inclusion in the next round of regulation changes.				S Group 3	

GOAL 6

Establish communication linkages with EMS training and prevention programs to ensure needs identified through the evaluation process are integrated into the EMS training curriculum and prevention programs.

<u>Objective 6.1</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop mechanism to ensure training needs identified through system evaluation process are integrated into training programs	Yes	No	See Objective 3.3	S Group 2	Begin in Year 3
<u>Action Steps</u>					
6.1.1 In conjunction with Work Group C identify initial training programs and C.E. processes that may be affected.				S Group 2	
6.1.2 In conjunction with Work Group C develop a process: 1) to revise initial, and C.E. training program curriculum being utilized under current regulatory standards, and 2) to revise regulatory standards if necessary				S Group 2	
6.1.3 Include processes for revising curriculum in the <i>State Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines</i> for completion of Objectives 3.3.3 – 3.3.8.				S Group 2	

<u>Objective 6.2</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop mechanism to ensure prevention needs identified through system evaluation process are included in statewide prevention programs	Yes	No	See Objective 3.3	S Group 2	Begin in Year 3
<u>Action Steps</u>					
6.2.1 In conjunction with Work Group F identify prevention programs that may be affected.				S Group 2	
6.2.2 In conjunction with Work Group F develop a process: 1) to revise prevention programs under current regulatory standards, and 2) to revise regulatory standards if necessary				S Group 2	
6.2.3 Include processes for revising curriculum in the <i>State Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines</i> for completion of Objectives 3.3.3 - 3.3.8.				S Group 2	

<u>Objective 6.3</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To make all necessary changes to current regulations to ensure all EMS training requirements developed under this goal are included.	No	No	Revised regulation language	S Group 3	Begin in Year 3
<u>Action Steps</u>					
6.3.1 Identify all current, and monitor proposed affected regulations.				S Group 3	Ongoing
6.3.2 Draft appropriate language revisions for each regulation which would ensure compliance with the <i>State Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines</i>				S Group 3	
6.3.3 Upon approval of the Project Team, submit proposed regulation revisions to the EMSA for inclusion in the				S Group 3	

next round of regulation changes.		
-----------------------------------	--	--

GOAL 7

Establish system evaluation training for all levels of EMS system personnel to ensure proper documentation, data entry, analysis, utilization of data and an understanding of the principles of research.

<u>Objective 7.1</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop State EMS System Evaluation Training Guidelines.	Yes	Yes	State EMS System Evaluation Training Guidelines	S Group 2	Begin in Year 3
<u>Action Steps</u>					
7.1.1	Identify all categories of EMS personnel which should receive EMS system evaluation training.			S Group 2	
7.1.2	In conjunction with Work Group C, develop training curriculum module for each category of personnel.			S Group 2	
7.1.3	In conjunction with Work Group C, establish instructor requirements.			S Group 2	
7.1.4	In conjunction with Work Group C, establish process for integration of the training module into existing training programs.			S Group 2	
7.1.5	In conjunction with Work Group C, establish process for training currently certified/licensed and administrative personnel.			S Group 2	
7.1.6	In conjunction with Work Group C, create draft of the <i>State EMS System Evaluation Training Guidelines</i> document to include the above.			S Group 2	
7.1.7	Submit draft guidelines to Work Group D for preliminary approval.			S Group 2	
7.1.8	Upon approval of the Project Team, submit draft guidelines to the State EMS Commission for approval to begin the formal EMS guideline development process.			EMSA & Group D	
7.1.9	Work with State EMSA to make revisions during public comment periods.			Group D	
7.1.10	Submit guidelines to EMS Commission for adoption.			EMSA	

<u>Objective 7.2</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To make all necessary changes to current training requirements in regulation to ensure system and evaluation curriculum are included.	No	No	Revised regulation language	S Group 3	Begin in Year 3
<u>Action Steps</u>					
7.2.1	In conjunction with Work Group C, identify all current, and monitor proposed affected regulations.			S Group 3	Ongoing
7.2.2	In conjunction with Work Group C, draft appropriate language revisions for each regulation which would ensure compliance with the <i>State EMS System Evaluation Training Guidelines</i> .			S Group 3	
7.2.3	Upon approval of the Project Team, submit proposed regulation revisions to the EMSA for inclusion in the next round of regulation changes.			S Group 3	

GOAL 8

Ensure immunity for medical control and quality improvement processes and discovery protection for all local and state EMS quality improvement efforts to ensure cooperation and participation of all EMS participants in patient care and EMS service evaluation.

<u>Objective 8.1</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop legislation to ensure immunity for medical control and EMS quality improvement processes	No	No	EMS Quality Improvement Immunity and Discovery Protection Legislation	S Group 3	Oct 2000
<u>Action Steps</u>					
8.1.1	Research existing statute and regulation to determine the current level of immunity protection for EMS medical control and QI.			S Group 3	Completed
8.1.2	Research other state and national mechanisms to ensure immunity for medical control and QI.			S Group 3	Completed
8.1.3	Based upon analysis of research, draft legislative bullet points necessary to provide the state and local EMS medical control and QI systems with immunity.			S Group 3	Completed
8.1.4	Present proposed legislative bullet points to Work Group D for preliminary approval.			S Group 3	Completed
8.1.5	Present proposed legislative bullet points to EMS Vision Project Team for inclusion in vision legislative process.			Group D	Completed
8.1.6	Assist Project Team as needed			S Group 3	Ongoing

<u>Objective 8.2</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop legislation to strengthen confidentiality and discovery protection for the EMS quality improvement process.	No	No	EMS Quality Improvement Immunity and Discovery Protection Legislation	S Group 3	Oct 2000
<u>Action Steps</u>					
8.2.1	Research existing statute and regulation to determine the current level of confidentiality and discovery protection for EMS quality improvement processes.			S Group 3	Completed
8.2.2	Research other state and national mechanisms to ensure confidentiality and discovery protection.			S Group 3	Completed
8.2.3	Based upon analysis of research, draft legislative bullet points necessary to provide the state, local, an provider EMS QI systems with confidentiality and discovery protection.			S Group 3	Completed
8.2.4	Present proposed legislative bullet points to Work Group D for preliminary approval.			S Group 3	Completed
8.2.5	Present proposed legislative bullet points to EMS Vision Project Team for inclusion in vision legislative process			Group D	Completed
8.2.6	Assist Project Team as needed			Group D	Ongoing

<u>Objective 8.3</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To make all necessary changes to current regulation to ensure consistency with the above suggested immunity, discovery and protection legislation.	No	No	Revised regulation language	S Group 3	Begin in Year 3
<u>Action Steps</u>					
8.3.1	Identify all current, and monitor proposed affected regulations.			S Group 3	Ongoing
8.3.2	Draft appropriate language revisions for each affected regulation			S Group 3	
8.3.3	Upon approval of the Project Team, submit proposed regulation revisions to the EMSA for inclusion in the next round of regulation changes.			S Group 3	

GOAL 9

Establish guidelines for conducting and funding state supported or state required EMS research.

<u>Objective 9.1</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop guidelines for conducting EMS Research.	Yes	No	See Objective 9.4	S Group 2	Begin in Year 3
<u>Action Steps</u>					
9.1.1	Identify the various types of state required or state funded research that may be conducted to include: trial studies, treatment guideline effectiveness research, EMS system cost evaluation studies, patient outcome studies, etc.			S Group 2	
9.1.2	Identify the various research design methodologies practical for EMS such as quantitative, qualitative, survey, observation, historical, experimental, evaluation, etc.			S Group 2	
9.1.3	Identify current requirements and standards for conducting EMS research for each research design methodology identified.			S Group 2	
9.1.4	Based upon the organization structure and standard operating procedures for EMS research developed under Objectives 10.1 and 10.2, develop criteria for EMS research based upon above standards which take into practical consideration for research in rural areas with low study populations.			S Group 2	
9.1.5	Include information in <i>EMS Research Guidelines</i> (See Objective 9.3)			S Group 2	

<u>Objective 9.2</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop guidelines for EMS Research Funding.	Yes	No	See Objective 9.4	S Group 2	Begin in Year 3
<u>Action Steps</u>					
9.2.1	Based on the organization structure and standard operating procedures for EMS research developed under Objectives 10.1 and 10.2, and the guidelines for conducting EMS research developed under Objective 9.1, identify the types of research which should be given priority for state funded research projects.			S Group 2	
9.2.2	Develop minimum standards criteria for research projects to be funded by the state EMSA.			S Group 2	
9.2.3	Develop strategies which would encourage statewide EMS research projects that meet the minimum standards developed above.			S Group 2	
9.2.4	Include information in <i>EMS Research Guidelines</i> (See Objective 9.3)			S Group 2	

<u>Objective 9.3</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop <i>EMS Research Guidelines</i> Document	Yes	No	EMS Research Guidelines	S Group 2	Begin in Year 3
<u>Action Steps</u>					
9.3.1	Develop format for the document.			S Group 2	
9.3.2	Include written documentation from Objectives 9.2 and 9.3			S Group 2	
9.3.3	Develop draft of <i>EMS Research Guidelines</i> to include organizational structure from Objective 10.1, all necessary charts, graphs, organizational chart, preface materials, and appendices.			S Group 2	
9.3.4	Submit draft guidelines to State EMSA for written comment and revise as needed.			S Group 2	
9.3.5	Submit draft guidelines to Work Group D for comment and preliminary approval.			S Group 2	
9.3.6	Upon approval of the Project Team, submit draft guidelines to EMS Commission for approval to begin the formal EMS guideline development process.			EMSA & Group D	
9.3.7	Work with State EMSA to make revisions during public comment periods.			Group D	
9.3.8	Submit final guidelines to EMS Commission for adoption.			EMSA	

<u>Objective 9.4</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To reduce barriers to EMS research	Yes	Yes	EMS Research Guidelines	S Groups 2 & 3	Begin in Year 3
<u>Action Steps</u>					
9.4.1	Identify all current barriers to conducting EMS research in California such as restrictions for prehospital human subject review			S Group 2	
9.4.2	Identify legislative/regulatory changes in bullet point format required to reduce identified barriers			S Group 3	
9.4.3	Present legislative bullet points to Work Group D for preliminary approval.			S Group 3	
9.4.4	Present proposed legislative bullet points to Vision Project Team for inclusion in the vision legislative process			S Group 3	
9.4.5	Assist Project Team with legislative process as needed			S Group 3	
9.4.6	Draft appropriate language revisions for each regulation requiring revision			S Group 3	
9.4.7	Upon approval of the Project Team, submit proposed regulation revision language to the EMSA for inclusion in the next round of regulatory changes.			S Group 3	

GOAL 10

Establish an organizational structure, standard operating procedures, and funding necessary for the implementation and maintenance of a statewide EMS data collection, evaluation, and improvement process.

<u>Objective 10.1</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop an EMS system evaluation and improvement organizational structure to be included in the <i>State EMS Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines</i>, <i>State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines</i>, <i>EMS Research Guidelines</i> and <i>State EMS CQI Guidelines</i>.	Yes	Yes	See Objectives 2.5, 3.3 & 5.3	S Group 2	Dec 2000
<u>Action Steps</u>					
10.1.1	Develop draft organizational structure for statewide data collection, evaluation, and reporting which identifies all affected agencies and there relationship to each other			S Group 2	Completed
10.1.3	Develop draft organizational structure for state required or state funded research oversight which identifies all affected agencies, there relationship to each other, and their linkages the data collection, evaluation and reporting process			S Group 2	Completed
10.1.5	Integrate the organizational structures into the <i>State EMS Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines</i> , <i>State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines</i> , <i>EMS Research Guidelines</i> and <i>State EMS CQI Guidelines</i> as appropriate.			S Group 2	TBA

<u>Objective 10.2</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop an EMS system evaluation and improvement <u>standard operating procedures</u> necessary for the implementation and maintenance of the EMS data collection and system evaluation process, to be included in the <i>State EMS Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines</i>, <i>State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines</i>, <i>EMS Research Guidelines</i> and <i>State EMS CQI Guidelines</i>.	Yes	Yes	See Objectives 2.5, 3.3 & 5.3	S Group 2	Dec 2000
<u>Action Steps</u>					
10.2.1	Based upon the organizational structure identified in Objective 10.1, develop draft standard operation procedures for statewide data collection, evaluation, reporting, and research which identifies the roles and responsibilities all affected agencies and the data and communication linkages between each of those agencies.			S Group 2	Completed
10.2.2	Identify key staff positions at each of the affected agencies and develop model qualifications and job description for each required position			S Group 2	Completed
10.2.3	Integrate the SOPs into the <i>State EMS Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines</i> , <i>State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines</i> , <i>EMS Research Guidelines</i> and <i>State EMS CQI Guidelines</i> as appropriate.			S Group 2	TBA

<u>Objective 10.3</u>	Development Funding Needed?	Implement Funding Needed?	Final Deliverable	Activity Lead	Estimated Completion Date
To develop proposed <u>funding</u> mechanisms to maintain the organizational structure and operations of the EMS data collection and system evaluation process.	Yes	Yes	See Objectives 2.5, 3.3 & 5.3	S Group 2	Dec 2000
<u>Action Steps</u>					
10.3.1	Identify all required staff positions and qualifications, initial and annual equipment costs, programming costs, and operating expenses necessary for start-up and continued maintenance of the state EMS data collection, reporting system			S Group 2	July 2001
10.3.2	Develop initial start-up and annual budget proposals			S Group 2	Oct 2001
10.3.3	Identify possible funding sources for the system, and necessary legislation that will provide secure, ongoing support.			S Group 2	Oct 2001
10.3.4	Submit proposed budgets and list of possible funding sources to the Work Group A (Funding)			S Group 2	Nov 2001
10.3.5	Work with Work Group A by providing all necessary supporting documentation necessary to secure funding.			S Group 2	TBA
10.3.6	Include proposed budgets into all appropriate final reports			S Group 2	TBA

APPENDIX

**ORIGINAL VISION OBJECTIVES AND NHTSA
RECOMMENDATIONS ASSIGNED TO WORK GROUP AD@**

VISION PROCESS OBJECTIVES ASSIGNED		Where the Objective is Addressed in the Action Plan
1.	Identify two to three indicators for QI in each of the three areas: dispatch area, field, and in the hospital.	Goal 2
2.	Develop benchmarks for EMS system evaluation based on results. Share results internally and with EMSA. Evaluate and publish results where appropriate.	Goal 2 and 4
3.	Assess the need for, and if necessary, promote legislation to ensure continuous medical quality improvement in the EMS system.	Goal 5
4.	<p>Develop a Statewide Integrated Information System driven by the scope and purpose to acquire, process, and disseminate information to all necessary stakeholders in order to evaluate and improve the delivery of all services delivered by the EMS System. The information system will contain all of the following components:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Funding 2. Identification of all customers 3. Standardized data sets 4. Coordination of all participants 5. Client identification 6. Confidentiality and security 7. Transmission 8. Central repository 9. Database linkage 10. Dissemination 	Goal 2, 3 and 4
5.	Research, identify, and expand non-traditional roles for <u>all practitioners</u> based on community needs and benefits.	Sent back to Project Team for reassignment
6.	Implement QI programs in order to provide continuing review of program effectiveness for administration, system planning, and evaluation activities.	Goal 1, 5 and 10
7.	Document the costs to medical facilities associated with their support of the EMS System.	Goal 2.4
8.	Work with the hospital industry to determine the amount of uncompensated care provided through the ER.	Goal 2.4
9.	Establish a multi-disciplinary task force to include payers to develop suggestions for studies and cooperative ventures between public health, public safety, and payers directed at education which may reduce morbidity and mortality of certain patient populations and/or disease and injury processes locally. Actively seek out and	Goal 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, and 10

	broker the establishment of cooperative ventures and measurement parameters (of intervention success, cost of delivery, and cost avoidance), and report its findings to the State EMS Commission. Distribute the results of these ventures into the health care community with the goal of reporting successful and unsuccessful methodologies.	
10.	Design and establish a statewide QI capability in collaboration with the identified EMS stakeholders.	Goal 1, 5, 7, and 10
11.	The Health and Safety Code should be modified to provide immunity for medical control and quality improvement for local EMS agency medical directors and provider agencies.	Goal 8
12.	The Health and Safety Code should be modified to provide discovery protection for provider and local EMS agency quality improvement activities.	Goal 8
13.	Due to the unique nature of interfacility transport (IFT), this area needs to be evaluated and addressed as a unique entity within emergency services.	Sent back to Project Team for reassignment
14.	Conduct an assessment of PSAPs in California to determine optimal configuration and interface with EMS.	Sent back to Project Team for reassignment

NHTSA ASSESSMENT RECOMMENDATION		Where the Recommendation is Addressed in the Action Plan
9.	The EMS Authority should establish performance standards for LEMSAs and should develop a system for monitoring and evaluating the LEMSA including the provision of technical assistance in areas needing improvement.	Goal 1
11.	The EMS Authority should write, and help shepherd through the legislative process, legislation to assure confidentiality and non-discoverability of EMS and trauma records, and EMS provider protection while participating in EMS Quality Improvement (QI) activities.	Goal 8
18.	Develop and implement more definitive EMSA review criteria and process for LEMSA plans and other requests.	Goal 1
19.	Develop a resource assessment process with and through the LEMSAs.	Goal 1
23.	Establish a comprehensive statewide EMS and trauma data collection and EMS system resource information system.	Goal 3
71.	EMSA should define a mechanism to provide physician oversight to review patient care, establish performance indicators and development of ongoing quality improvement programs in the state EMS plan.	Goal 10
75.	Information and trends developed from the trauma registry should be utilized in PIER and injury prevention programs.	Goal 2, 4, 5, and 6
77.	Mechanisms should be delineated to ensure that data on trauma patients from all hospitals that deliver care to these patients must be entered into the LEMSA and state trauma registry and that this is managed in a confidential manner.	Goal 2 and 4
78.	Develop a comprehensive, medically directed statewide quality improvement program to evaluate patient care processes and outcomes.	Goal 5
79.	Develop a statewide integrated information system (as described in the Vision document) that will have the capability to monitor, evaluate, and elucidate emergency medical services and trauma care in California.	Goal 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 10
80.	Ensure the design capability for linkages of the statewide integrated information system to other public and private data systems.	Goal 3 and 4
81.	Allocate personnel and resources to implement the statewide integrated information system including necessary technical assistance, materials, and funding to LEMSAs.	Goal 10
82.	Enforce the use of a uniform prehospital data set consistent with the NHTSA Uniform Prehospital Data Set. Mandate submission of an agreed-upon, timely, limited, uniform, common language data set from the LEMSAs to the EMSA.	Goal 2 and 3
83.	Seek ways to improve the number of completed patient care records that are delivered to the ED staff upon patient arrival with a goal of 98% compliance.	Goal 5
85.	The EMSA should write, and help shepherd through the legislative process, legislation to assure confidentiality and non-discoverability of EMS and trauma records and EMS provider protection while participating in EMS QI activities.	Goal 8

(ANTICIPATED) DOCUMENTS TO BE DEVELOPED

The following is a list of documents that are anticipated to be developed during Phase II of the project.

NOTE: Most of the documents listed below are identified for development as *AGuidelines*.[@] However, during the development process it may become evident that some of the documents should, instead, be developed as regulation or statute. These adjustments will be made as the process progresses.

State EMS Data Collection, Evaluation and Quality Improvement System Overview

State EMS Authority Evaluation Guidelines

Local EMS Agencies Evaluation Guidelines

State EMS Data Set

State EMS Data Collection and Reporting Process Guidelines

State EMS System Evaluation Guidelines

System Performance

Training Programs Data Indicators

Dispatch Data Indicators

First Response Data Indicators

Ambulance Transport Provider Data Indicators

Special Response Services Data Indicators

Base Hospital Data Indicators

Receiving Hospitals Data Indicators

Specialty Care Services Data Indicators

Disaster/Multi-Casualty Incidents Data Indicators

System Performance Evaluation Process

Quality of Care

Treatment Protocols Compliance Indicators

Skill Success Rates Indicators

Quality of Care Evaluation Process

Treatment Protocols Evaluation Process

Customer Satisfaction

Customer Satisfaction Indicators

Customer Satisfaction Evaluation Process

Cost of Services

Cost of Service Indicators

Cost of Services Evaluation Process

State EMS Continuous Quality Improvement Guidelines

State EMS System Evaluation Training Guidelines

EMS Research Guidelines

Project Bibliography

REQUIRED LEGISLATION

Following is a list of EMS system review and data issues which have been identified that are not adequately addressed in current statute, and will require new legislation to clarify and enhance the EMS data collection and evaluation process. This is a preliminary list which may be added to as legislative needs are identified.

1. Mandatory participation by all EMS system participants and hospitals in the statewide EMS CQI program(s) and data collection processes
2. Immunity for medical control and quality improvement activities at both a state and local level
3. Confidentiality and discovery protection for provider, local EMS agency, and state quality improvement efforts
4. Funding for the statewide EMS evaluation and quality improvement program
5. Provide support and reduce barriers to perform EMS research to include a statewide human subject review approval process for out-of-hospital research

REQUIRED REGULATORY CHANGES

1. A matrix of affected regulations will be developed listing each proposed regulatory revision by objective.